IRB Initial Approval of Research Policy

Scope
Mayo Clinic Human Research Protection Program
Research for which the Mayo Clinic is the IRB of Record

Purpose
Federal regulations establish criteria which must be met to allow approval of research using human subjects (45 CFR 46.111 and 21 CFR 56.111). Further, the IRB determines the level of review, and when application, the frequency of review required.

Policy
It is the policy of Mayo Clinic's Office for Human Research Protection – Institutional Review Board (IRB) to review and approve research in accordance with the criteria as defined by Federal regulations.

Criteria for IRB Approval of Research
1. Risks to subjects are minimized by:
   - The use of procedures which are consistent with sound research design and which do not unnecessarily expose subjects to risk,
   - And
   - The use of procedures already being performed on the subjects for diagnostic or treatment purposes, whenever appropriate
2. Risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits, if any, to subjects, and the importance of the knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result. In evaluating risks and benefits, the IRB considers only those risks and benefits that may result from the research (as distinguished from risks and benefits of therapies subjects would receive even if not participating in the research). The IRB does not consider possible long-range effects of applying knowledge gained in the research (e.g., the possible effects of the research on public policy) as among those research risks that fall within the purview of its responsibility;
3. Selection of subjects is equitable considering the purposes of the research and the setting in which the research will be conducted and is particularly cognizant of the special problems of research involving vulnerable populations and the potential need for additional protections, such as children, prisoners, pregnant women, mentally disabled persons, or economically or educationally disadvantaged persons;
4. Informed consent is sought from each prospective subject or the subject's legally authorized representative, in accordance with, and to the extent required by Federal and State regulations and Institutional policies and procedures including those of the IRB;
5. Informed Consent is appropriately documented, in accordance with, and to the extent required by the Federal and State regulations and Institutional policies and procedures including those of the IRB;
6. When appropriate, the research plan makes adequate provisions for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of subjects;
7. When appropriate, there are adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.

8. There are adequate provisions to protect the rights and welfare of vulnerable populations from coercion or undue influence, such as children, prisoners, pregnant women, mentally disabled persons, or economically or educationally disadvantaged persons.

   o The IRB determines if additional safeguards need to be included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of these subjects.

**Determining the Interval for Continuing Review**

At the time of initial or continuing IRB approval, the Convened IRB or Expedited Reviewer determines the interval for continuing in accordance with the requirements defined in the policy *Continuing Review of Research*.

**Investigator Responsibilities**

- The Investigator prepares and submits only those research projects that he/she believes meet the criteria for IRB approval of research.

**IRB Responsibilities**

- The IRB reviews the research proposal and determines whether it meets the criteria for approval of research. These criteria apply to all research projects that require IRB approval.
- The IRB takes into account any other committee reviews and determinations submitted as part of the research project when making its determination.
- The IRB seeks the expertise of any individual or committee necessary or appropriate for making its determination.
- IRB reviewers document their findings in the IRB electronic system (IRBe).

**Policy Notes**

N/A

**Related Policies**

*Continuing Review of Research Projects*

**Related Documents**

N/A

**Definitions**

N/A

**References**

- 45 CFR 46.111 Criteria for IRB Approval of Research
- 21 CFR 56.111 Criteria for IRB Approval of Research
**Owner**
Tammy Neseth on behalf of Office for Human Research Protection

**Contact**
Michelle Daiss, Angela Patterson
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